FIRST INFORMATION REPORT

(**முதல் தகவல் அறிக்கை** (Under Section 154 Cr.P.C.) (கு.ந.வி.தொ.பிரிவு 154 இன் கீழ்)

TAMIL NADU POLICE INTEGRATED INVESTIGATION FORM-I

8093897

C

	District : Madurai	PS: 🖟 🖟 С காவல்நிலையம்	Year: FIR No.:
2.	(i) Act FLLO: Indian F	sonal code	Sections பிரிவுகள்: 120-B, 406, 408, 468 and 471
	(ii) Act &LLib: PC Act	1988	Sections பிரிவுகள்: 9 ஆ 13(1)(ப்) ү / ம
	(iii) Act சட்டம்:		Sections பிரிவுகள்: 13(2) ்டி Pc Act 198
	(iv) Other Acts & Sections பிற சட்டங்களும், பிரிவுகளும் :		
3.	(a) Occurrence of Offence Day : குற்ற நிகழ்வு நாள்	Date from : 20\5 நாள் முதல்	Date to : 20\6
	Time Period : நேர அளவு	Time from : —— நேரம் முதல்	Time to : நேரம் வரை
	(b) Information Received at PS. Date : காவல் நிலையத்திற்கு தகவல் கிடைத்த ந	18.06.19	Time : 11.00 kg.
	(c) General Diary Reference : Entry No. பொது நாட்குறிப்பில் பதிவு விவரம் எண்	19.61.10	Time : W.oo hy &.
4.	Type of Information : Written/ Oral : தகவலின் வகை : எழுத்து மூலம் / வாய் மொழியாக ——		
5.	Place of Occurrence (a) Direction and Distance from PS: District. குற்ற நிகழ்விடம் (அ) காவல்நிலையத்திலிருந்து எவ்வளவு தூரமும், எத்திசையும்		
	Beat Number : —— முறைக் காவல் எண்	(b) Address : —— முகவரி	
	(c) In case outside limit of this Police Station, then the Name of P.S : District : இக்காவல் நிலைய எல்லைக்கப்பால் நடந்து இருக்குமாயின், அந்நிலையில் அந்த கா.நி.பெயர் மாவட்டம்		
6.	Complainant /Informant (a) Name : 🗼 குற்றமுறையீட்டாளர்/ தகவல் தந்தவர் பெய	(b) Father's/ Husband's Name : R kayaphiah தந்தை / கணவர் பெயர்	
	(c) Date / Year of Birth : 20 12 71 நாள் / பிறந்த ஆண்டு	(d) Nationality : エーவ்வர நாட்டினம்	(e) Passport No. : வெளிநாட்டு கடவுச்சீட்டு எண்
	Date of Issue : வழங்கப்பட்ட நாள்	Place of Issue : வழங்கப்பட்ட இடம்	
	(f) Occupation: Inspector of (g) Address: Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, நொழில் Police. முகவரி Madural Actachment		
	Details of Known/Suspected/Unknown accused with full particulars. (Attach separate sheet if necessary) தெரிந்த / ஐயப்பாட்டிற்குறிய / தெரியாத குறைஞ்சாட்டப்பட்டவரின் முழுமையான விவரங்கள் (தேவையெனில் தனித்தாள் இணைக்கவும்		
	2 Charge Charge of the complainant Informant: 2 Charge Charge (private individual) S/OM Ay 8. Reasons for delaylin reporting by the complainant Informant: 2 Constant of the complainant of th		
8.	8. Reasons for delaylin reporting by the complainant / Informant:		
குற்றமுறையீட்டாளரால் / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரால் முறையிட்டதில் தகவல் கொடுப்பதில் தாமதம் காடுப்பு இவி காடுப்பு இவி காடுப்பு இவி			
This case was registered after Detailed			
GCP-240-34(Type-2) 90,000 Cps. 21.3.16(HCL-12)			

- 9. Particulars of properties stolen / involved (Attach separate sheet if necessary) களவாடப்பட்ட / களவிற்குள்ளான சொத்துக்களின் விவரம் (தேவையெனில் தனித் தாளில் இணைக்கவும்)
- 10. Total value of properties stolen / involved : களவாடப்பட்ட / களவிற்குள்ளான சொத்துக்களின் மொத்த மதிப்பு
- 11. Inquest Report / Un-natural death Case No. if any: பிண விசாரணை அறிக்கை / இயற்கைக்கு மாறான இறப்பு எண் ஏதேனும் இருந்தால்
- 12. FIR Contents (Attach separate sheet, if required) : முதல் ததுவில் அறிக்கையின் சுருக்கம். (தேவையெனில் தனித்தாளில் இணைக்கவும்)

Seperate sheets in Page nos 3 to 5 were enclosed herewith.

FIR read over to the Complainant / Informant, admitted to be correctly recorded and a copy given to the Complainant / Informant free of cost. மு.த.அ. குற்றமுறையீட்டாளருக்கு / தகவல் தந்தவருக்கு படித்துக்காட்டி, அது சரியாக எழுதப்பட்டு இருப்பதாக ஏற்றுக்

கொள்ளப்பட்டு, அதன் படி நகல் ஒன்று இலவசமாக கொடுக்கப்பட்டது.

14. Signature / Thumb Impression of the Complainant / Informant குற்றமுறையீட்டாளர் / தகவல் கொடுப்பவரின் ஒப்பம் / பெருவிரல் இரேகைப் பதிவு

15. Date & Time of despatch to the court: நீதிமன்றத்திற்கு அனுப்பப்பட்ட நாளும், நேரமும்

18.06.19 at 1200 hrs.

Signature of the Officer in-charge, Police Station காவல் நிலைய பொறுப்பு அலுவலரின் ஒப்பம்

Name: பெயர் .K. .K. பார் புவர் பார் Rank: நிலை This politication என்

VXAC, modurai

* GCP-240-34(Type 2) 90,000 Cps -21 3 16 HCL-12)p.2

Honoured Sir submitted:

Accused -1

C.R.Raveendran, (Late), Formerly
Gr.I.Librarian,
District Central Library,
Madurai,
A/c, District Library Officer, Madurai

Accused -2

Thiru A.Ramalingam,
Contractor (Private Individual),
S/o. M.Ayyaraj,
4th Ward, Bajanai Mada Street,
Ayyampalayam,
Dindigul District.

On credible information as to the commission irregularities of malpractices in the execution of maintenance works in the Buildings in Madurai District, a Detailed Enquiry was conducted as per the order of DVAC, Chennai in DE.28/2017/LIB/MU Tr.C.R.Raveendran, against (Since expired) Gr.I.Librarian, District Central Library, Madurai, Additional Charge as District Library Officer, Madurai for violating the rules and procedures in the execution of maintenance works in the Library Buildings and spent Government fund beyond the sanctioned

limit and gained pecuniary advantage in the year 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. During the enquiry, the following facts were brought to light. As per the Proceedings in Na.Ka.No.2686/E1/2014-12 dated 24.07.2014 and in Na.Ka.No.2868/E1/2015-12, dated 08.07.2015 of the Director of Libraries, fund has been allotted to the District Library Officer and they have been permitted to spend Rs.30 lakhs each from the annual budget for the purpose of maintenance works in the Public Library Buildings for the financial year 2014-2015 and 2015-2016. In Madurai District, during the years 2014-2015 and 2015-2016, 57 maintenance and repair works worth Rs.25,20,970/- and 42 maintenance works worth Rs.41,16,970/- were carried out respectively. District Library Officers are

permitted to spend the amount below Rs.20,000/- for the purpose of one maintenance and repair works. But, without following the rules and procedures, Tr.C.R.Raveendran (Since expired), District Library Officer, colluded Accused Tr.A.Ramalingam, Contractor, split one work in to various work and spend the amount beyond the sanctioned limit of Rs.20,000/-. During this enquiry, eleven libraries were randomly selected and its repair and maintenance works were evaluated through the PWD team and through the Evaluation Report, it came to notice that some of the works executed in the library buildings are substandard works and some of the works were not executed as per the estimate abstract. Executive Engineer of PWD Department has the power to issue the Estimate Abstract and as per instruction, the District Library Officer has to obtain the estimate abstract from the PWD Department. But in this case, Accused Tr.Ramalingam, Contractor, obtained the estimate abstract from the Assistant Engineers, PWD Department of Dindigul District, which was issued by them without the knowledge of the Executive Engineer. There is credible information that the Accused Tr.Ramalingam (Contractor) worked as Technical Assistant (NMR) in Dindigul PWD Department before 2008 and by using the above said influence, he obtained the work order from the PWD Department, Dindigul. Accused Tr.Ramalingam (Contractor) connived with Tr.C.R.Raveendran (Since expired), Gr.I.Librarian, holding Additional Charge of District Library Officer, Madurai, in executing some substandard maintenance works and without executing some maintenance works in Library Buildings in Madurai District as per estimate abstract, and thereby misappropriated the Government fund to the tune of Rs.14,71,313/-. Without the active support of Tr.C.Raveendran (Since expired), Gr.I.Librarian, Additional Charge of District Library Officer, Madurai, Tr.Ramalingam (Contractor) could not carry out the work.

Hence, Tr.C.Raveendran (Since Expired) and Tr.Ramalingam (Contractor) are jointly responsible for the offence committed by them. On the basis of credible, reliable and substantial information that Accused Tr.Ramalingam, Contractor, entered in to criminal conspiracy with Tr.C.R.Raveendran (Since expired), Gr.I.Librarian, holding Additional Charge of District Library Officer, Madurai, in executing some substandard maintenance works and without executing some maintenance works in Library Buildings in Madurai District as per estimate abstract, and thereby misappropriated the Government fund to the tune of Rs.14,71,313/- and thereby committed criminal breach of trust and seem to dishonestly, fraudulently, criminally misappropriate the Government funds and thereby gained wrongful loss, by using others and also created the false and forged records as true by cheating the Government and thereby the Government sustained wrongful loss and the Accused officers were gained the amount wrongfully, using the forged records as true for the purpose of cheating, and as such, prima facie, there is sufficient material, both oral and documentary to go for a regular case under section 120-B IPC, 406, 408, 468, 471 IPC r/w 9 and 13(1)(d) r/w 13(2) of PC Act 1988, against the aforesaid Accused.

Hence, I am registering a case in Madurai Vigilance and Anti-Corruption, Madurai Crime No.11/2019, u/s. 120-B IPC, 406, 408, 468, 471 IPC r/w 9 and 13(1)(d) r/w 13(2) of PC Act 1988.

The original FIR is submitted to the Special Judge, Trial of Cases under Prevention of Corruption Act, Madurai and copies were sent to the officers concerned.

Inspector of Police,
Vigilance and Anti Corruption,
Madurai.